

SNARKeling Treasure Hunt Audit Report

Khant Wai Yan Aung (SnavOhBurmaa)

16, 4, 2026

SNARKeling Treasure Hunt Audit Report

Prepared by: Khant Wai Yan Aung (SnavOhBurmaa) (<https://www.linkedin.com/in/khant-wai-yan-ohburmaa/>)

Table of contents

See table

- SNARKeling Treasure Hunt Audit Report
- Table of contents
- About Me
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - [H-1] Wrong variable in "already claimed" check drains entire prize pool with one proof
 - [H-2] Duplicate hash in ALLOWED_TREASURE_HASHES bricks one treasure
 - Medium
 - [M-1] Claimed event emits msg.sender instead of recipient
 - [M-2] recipient == msg.sender check adds no security but hurts UX
 - Low
 - [L-1] Circuit public input recipient is unused, producing a warning
 - [L-2] Custom errors declared but string reverts used everywhere
 - [L-3] onlyOwner modifier declared but never applied
 - [L-4] receive() bypasses fund()'s owner-only check

- [L-5] updateVerifier accepts address(0)
- [L-6] emergencyWithdraw forbids recipient == owner
- [L-7] Funded event omits sender
- Info
 - [I-1] withdraw() has no caller restriction
 - [I-2] Broad owner trust
 - [I-3] Constructor does not require funding
 - [I-4] pause() / unpause() are not idempotence-guarded
 - [I-5] Nargo.toml compiler version too loose
 - [I-6] Deploy script does not validate INITIAL_FUNDING
- Gas
 - [G-1] Switch string require messages to custom errors
 - [G-2] Inline one-line internal functions

About Me

Hi, I'm Khant Wai Yan Aung (SnavOhBurmaa). I'm currently focusing on smart contract security audit. Currently studying at Rangsit University(CS).

Risk Classification

| | | Impact | | |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| | | High | Medium | Low |
| Likelihood | High | H | H/M | M |
| | Medium | H/M | M | M/L |
| | Low | M | M/L | L |

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

<https://github.com/CodeHawks-Contests/2026-04-snarkeling>

Scope

```
contracts/
├── scripts/
│   └── Deploy.s.sol
├── src/
│   └── TreasureHunt.sol
```

```
circuits/  
└─ src/  
   └─ main.nr
```

Issues found

| Severity | Count |
|---------------|-----------|
| High | 2 |
| Medium | 2 |
| Low | 7 |
| Informational | 6 |
| Gas | 2 |
| Total | 19 |

Findings

High

[H-1] Wrong variable in "already claimed" check drains entire prize pool with one proof

Location: contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:35, 88, 104

Description: TreasureHunt.claim checks claimed[_treasureHash] instead of claimed[treasureHash]. _treasureHash is an immutable that is never initialized, so its value is always bytes32(0).

```
bytes32 private immutable _treasureHash; // never set  
...  
@> if (claimed[_treasureHash]) revert  
    AlreadyClaimed(treasureHash);  
...  
_markClaimed(treasureHash); // correct mapping  
    key written, but never checked
```

Impact: One valid proof can be replayed up to MAX_TREASURES = 10 times, sending 10 ETH per call to the same recipient. The full **100 ETH** pool is drained by a single finder.

PoC: test_PoC_H1_DrainContractWithOneProof in contracts/test/PoC.t.sol drains the contract:

Contract balance before attack: 100 ETH
Contract balance after attack: 0
ETH stolen: 100 ETH

Recommended Mitigation:

```
- if (claimed[_treasureHash]) revert
    AlreadyClaimed(treasureHash);
+ if (claimed[treasureHash]) revert
    AlreadyClaimed(treasureHash);
```

Also delete the unused `_treasureHash` immutable.

[H-2] Duplicate hash in ALLOWED_TREASURE_HASHES bricks one treasure

Location: `circuits/src/main.nr:64-65` (duplicate), `circuits/src/tests.nr:30` (workaround)

Description: `ALLOWED_TREASURE_HASHES[8]` (`main.nr:64`) and `ALLOWED_TREASURE_HASHES[9]` (`main.nr:65`) are the same value:

```
-96143505731729358009482648278657287353323570118332983112409184763!
-96143505731729358009482648278657287353323570118332983112409184763!
```

`Prover.toml.example` and `Deploy.s.sol` both expect index 8 to be `-4417726...`. The circuit's test file (`tests.nr:30`) works around this by using treasure 10 twice instead of [9, 10].

Impact: The physical treasure whose real hash is `-4417726...` (treasure #9) cannot be redeemed. The finder of that treasure has no way to generate a proof the circuit will accept.

Recommended Mitigation: Replace index 8 with the correct hash and regenerate the verifier via `./build.sh`. Restore `tests.nr` to use [1..10].

Medium

[M-1] Claimed event emits msg.sender instead of recipient

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:44` (declaration), `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:111` (wrong emission)

Description: The event is declared with a recipient parameter, but the code passes `msg.sender`:

```
event Claimed(bytes32 indexed treasureHash, address indexed
    recipient);
...
emit Claimed(treasureHash, msg.sender); // wrong
```

Because M-2 forbids `recipient == msg.sender`, the two addresses are always different, so every `Claimed` event records a different address from the one that received the ETH.

Impact: Block explorers, subgraphs, and off-chain accounting see the submitter as the recipient. Future airdrops or analytics built on these events will reward the wrong addresses.

PoC: `test_PoC_M1_ClaimedEventEmitsWrongRecipient` in `contracts/test/PoC.t.sol`.

Recommended Mitigation:

```
- emit Claimed(treasureHash, msg.sender);
+ emit Claimed(treasureHash, recipient);
```

Or add a third field to also track the submitter:

```
- event Claimed(bytes32 indexed treasureHash, address indexed
  recipient);
+ event Claimed(bytes32 indexed treasureHash, address indexed
  recipient, address indexed submitter);
  ...
- emit Claimed(treasureHash, msg.sender);
+ emit Claimed(treasureHash, recipient, msg.sender);
```

[M-2] `recipient == msg.sender` check adds no security but hurts UX

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:86`

Description: `claim` rejects `recipient == msg.sender`. The proof-to-recipient binding is already provided by the public input, so this extra check does not prevent any attack. It only forces finders to use a second EOA or a relayer in order to pay themselves.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the `recipient == msg.sender` clause.

```
- if (recipient == address(0) || recipient == address(this) ||
  recipient == owner || recipient == msg.sender) revert
  InvalidRecipient();
+ if (recipient == address(0) || recipient == address(this) ||
  recipient == owner) revert InvalidRecipient();
```

Low

[L-1] Circuit public input recipient is unused, producing a warning

Location: circuits/src/main.nr:28

nargo check emits warning: unused variable recipient. Recipient binding today works because bb includes all pub inputs in the public-input vector, but a future Noir optimization could drop the unused input. Add an explicit no-op constraint:

```
+ assert(recipient == recipient);
```

[L-2] Custom errors declared but string reverts used everywhere

Location: contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:7-25 (errors); string reverts at lines 54, 60, 108, 224, 227, 229, 237-238, 246, 255, 264-265, 274-277, 280

TreasureHunt.sol declares many custom errors (OnlyOwnerCanFund, HuntNotOver, InvalidAmount, ...) that are never used. String require messages are used instead, increasing gas and deploy size. Either delete the unused errors or switch all reverts to use them.

[L-3] onlyOwner modifier declared but never applied

Location: contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:53-56 (dead modifier); inline duplicates at lines 237, 246, 255, 265, 275

The onlyOwner modifier exists but every admin function re-checks msg.sender == owner inline. Apply the modifier to fund (236), pause (245), unpauses (254), updateVerifier (263), emergencyWithdraw (273).

[L-4] receive() bypasses fund()'s owner-only check

Location: contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:236-241 (fund) vs contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:287-289 (receive)

fund() is guarded by ONLY_OWNER_CAN_FUND, but receive() is public:

```
function fund() external payable {
    require(msg.sender==owner, "ONLY_OWNER_CAN_FUND");
    ...
}

receive() external payable {
    emit Funded(msg.value, address(this).balance);
}
```

Anyone can deposit ETH by sending it directly to the contract address. The owner restriction on `fund()` is meaningless. PoC:
`test_PoC_L4_ReceiveBypassesOnlyOwnerFund`. Either restrict `receive()` with the same owner check, or delete `receive()`.

[L-5] updateVerifier accepts address (0)

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:263-269`

The constructor (`TreasureHunt.sol:68`) rejects a zero verifier address; `updateVerifier` does not. Owner operator error can brick every future claim until they push a new update. PoC:

`test_PoC_L5_UpdateVerifierAcceptsZeroAddress`. Add if
`(address(newVerifier) == address(0)) revert InvalidVerifier();`

[L-6] emergencyWithdraw forbids recipient == owner

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:276`

```
require(recipient != address(0) && recipient != address(this) &&  
        recipient != owner, "INVALID_RECIPIENT");
```

Owner cannot recover funds to their own wallet in an emergency. Remove the `recipient != owner` clause.

[L-7] Funded event omits sender

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:45` (declaration); emits at lines 240 and 288

event `Funded(uint256 amount, uint256 newBalance)` has no `from` field. Because L-4 lets anyone deposit, downstream accounting cannot tell owner top-ups from stranger deposits. Add an indexed `from` field.

Info

[I-1] withdraw() has no caller restriction

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:223-232`

`withdraw()` can be called by any address after `claimsCount >= MAX_TREASURES`. Funds always go to owner so no theft is possible, but the function should still be `onlyOwner` for clarity.

[I-2] Broad owner trust

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:263-283`

Owner can replace the verifier with any contract while paused (line 263), then call `emergencyWithdraw` (line 273). A malicious or compromised owner can drain the contract. Use a multisig/timelock in production.

[I-3] Constructor does not require funding

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:67-75`

The constructor accepts any `msg.value`, including zero. Deployments with less than `REWARD * MAX_TREASURES` break silently at first claim. Add `require(msg.value >= REWARD * MAX_TREASURES, ...)`.

[I-4] `pause()` / `unpause()` are not idempotence-guarded

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:245-259`

Calling `pause()` while already paused (or `unpause()` while already unpaused) silently succeeds and emits a duplicate event. Add `require(!paused, ...)` / `require(paused, ...)`.

[I-5] Nargo.toml compiler version too loose

Location: `circuits/Nargo.toml:5`

`compiler_version = ">=1.0.0"` accepts any future major version. The README pins `1.0.0-beta.19`, and the generated Verifier/proof is version-sensitive. Narrow to `>=1.0.0-beta.19, <1.1.0` to prevent accidental upgrades.

[I-6] Deploy script does not validate `INITIAL_FUNDING`

Location: `contracts/scripts/Deploy.s.sol:45-67`

`Deploy.s.sol` reads `INITIAL_FUNDING` from env and deploys with whatever value it gets. No assertion that `INITIAL_FUNDING >= REWARD * MAX_TREASURES`. A misconfigured deployment under-funds the hunt.

Gas

[G-1] Switch string `require` messages to custom errors

Location: See L-2 for full list of affected lines.

Custom errors are already declared and are cheaper than string reverts.

[G-2] Inline one-line internal functions

Location: `contracts/src/TreasureHunt.sol:209-215` (defs); called once each from `claim` at lines 103-104.

`_markClaimed` and `_incrementClaimsCount` each have a single caller and one line. Inline them in `claim` for clarity and a small gas save.